Oxygen and Nitric Oxide Affinities of Iron(I1) 'Capped' Porphyrins

MAKOTO SHIMIZU, FRED BASOLO* *Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, ill. 60201, U.S.A.* MARIA N. VALLEJO and JACK E. BALDWIN *The University, Oxford OX1 3QY, U.K.* Received August 25,1983

Oxygen and NO binding constants are reported for Fe(Por)(B) complexes. The results show a good in responses in responses to response the responses of the results $Fe(Por)/B$ [1] complexes. The results show a good *correlation between* O_2 and NO affinities, and this is attributed to the similar bent structures of the Fe-O-O and Fe-N-O moieties. Evidence is *presented to show that iron(II)* C_3 -capped porphyrins *have a low affinity for O₂ because of ligand coordination on the cavity side of the cap.*

Introduction

Extensive studies [2] have been done on the $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ contracts $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ portional points. binding of O_2 and of CO to iron(II) porphyrins, $Fe(Por)(B)$. To our knowledge, only one such study of NO binding has been reported. This is the elegant work of Romberg and Kassner [3] on the binding of NO to horse myoglobin and to 1-methylimidazole protoheme. Their study showed that O_2 and NO, both with stable bent structures of Fe-O-O and $Fe-NO$, bind similarly to the protein and to the model; whereas CO, with a stable linear $Fe-C-O$ structure, binds more weakly to the protein than to the model compound. W_{tot} compound.

We have explained our studies $[\pm]$ on σ_2 and on CO binding in $Fe(Cap)(B)$ on the basis of distal steric effects. If valid, this interpretation requires that NO binding mirror O_2 and not CO binding. This is what was found, as is reported here.

Experimental

Reagent

toluen
Toluene was readered under National Union of National Union of National Union of National Union of National Un Followell was reagent grade and district under N_2 from sodium metal prior to use. 1-MeIm and 1,2-Me₂Im were dried over KOH, distilled under vacuum and stored under N_2 at 0 °C. The N_2 gas was Matheson prepurified grade and was passed through Redox column to remove residual O_2 . Gaseous dioxygen was Air Product Ultra-Pure Carrier Gas (containing less than 1.0 ppm $H₂O$) or Matheson Primary Standard 0.999% O_2 in N_2 for the measure-
ment of O_2 binding constants. Gaseous carbon

monoxide was Matheson Ultra High Purity and monoxius was Matheson Citra riigii ruinty and gaseous nitric oxide was Matheson Certified Standard 5 ppm NO in N_2 for the measurement of NO and CO equilibrium constants.

Synthesis

 $\frac{1}{5}$ is the method of method of method of $\frac{1}{5}$ of $\frac{1}{5}$ of $\frac{1}{5}$ of $\frac{1}{5}$ of $\frac{1}{5}$ of $\frac{1}{5}$ $T₁$, $T₂$. The synthesized by the include of Traylor and coworkers [5]. The synthetic methods of Fe(C₂-Cap)Cl, Fe(C₃-Cap)Cl, Fe(TPP)Cl and F(T(P- OMe)PP)Cl were described in our previous paper $[4]$. $Fe(C_4-Cap)Cl$ was obtained from Baldwin and co-
workers.

Procedure

Oxygen Affinity Measurements

Oxygen Affinity measurements
The procedure in a previous control in a previous control of the previous control of the process of the proced paper α binding to find the α binding to α paper $[4]$. The O_2 binding to five-coordinate iron(II) porphyrin complexes is illustrated by eqn. 1.

$$
\text{Fe}(\text{Por})(B) + O_2 \xrightarrow{\text{K}_B O_2} \text{Fe}(\text{Por})(B)(O_2) \tag{1}
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } P_{1/2}O_2 = \frac{1}{K_B O_2}
$$

 T Fe(Por)(B) solutions were prepared as described ine retror (b) solutions were prepared as described in a previous paper $[4]$. The base concentration was chosen to give greater than 99% of five-coordinate complex in the capped porphyrin systems and 98% of that in the open-flat porphyrin systems such as $Fe(TPP)(1,2-Me,Im)$ and $Fe(T(p-OMe)PP)(1,2-We)$ Γ e(III)(1,2-Me₂IIII) and Γ e(I(p-OMe)II)(1,2 t_2 and t_1 intermined equilibrium constants we have required was determined from the reported equilibrium constants K_B
and K_B ^B [6].

Nitric Oxide Affinity Measurements $\frac{S}{S}$ is the examelection of $\frac{S}{S}$ is the use to obtain $\frac{S}{S}$ is the obtained on $\frac{S}{S}$ is the use of $\frac{S}{S}$

since NO alling is too large for us to obtain directly $P_{1/2}$ ^{NO} values by the titration with NO of toluene solutions of five-coordinate iron(II) por-
phyrins, (eqn. 2), we used the

$$
Fe(Por)(B) + NO \xrightarrow{K_BNO} Fe(Por)(B)(NO)
$$
 (2)

where $P_{1/2}^{\text{NO}} = (K_B^{\text{NO}})^{-1}$

0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

method of Romberg and Kassner [3] which requires t nethod of Komberg and Kassner [3] which require titration with NO of toluene solutions of six-coordinate carbonyl complexes $Fe(Por)(B)(CO)$, (eqn. 3).

$$
Fe(Por)(B)(CO) + NO \xrightarrow{K_B^{CO, NO}} Fe(Por)(B)(NO) + + CO
$$
 (3)

where $N = K_B^{\text{CO,NO}}$

The partition coefficient between \mathcal{L}_max and \mathcal{L}_max ne partition coefficies

$$
N = \frac{[Fe(Por)(B)(NO)] \cdot P^{CO}}{[Fe(Por)(B)(CO)] \cdot P^{NO}}
$$
(4)

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C} \mathcal{O}$ and PNO correspond to the partial preswhere P^{max} and P^{max} correspond to the partial pressures of CO and NO. At 50% nitrosyl complex the ratio of the partial pressure of the gases is equal to atio of the partial pressure of the gases is equal to Ω $\mathbb{Z}_{1/2}$ $\mathbb{Z}/P_{1/2}$ where $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}$ is the partial pressure. gas when a compound is half complexed. Thus

$$
N = \frac{P_{1/2}^{CO}}{P_{1/2}^{NO}} \tag{5}
$$

The following binding scheme may be used the following bin for the

<u>i</u>ne eq

$$
K_B^{NO} = K_B^{CO} \cdot K_B^{CO,NO}
$$
 (6)

This equation can be expressed in terms of P !!!
..

D co

$$
P_{1/2}^{NO} = \frac{P_{1/2}^{CO}}{N}
$$
 (7)

The Pl12 No for five-coordinate iron porphyrin was The $P_{1/2}$ for the coordinate from (11) porphyrin was calculated from the reported [6] $P_{1/2}^{1/2}$ ^{CO} value and the experimentally determined value for N (Table I).
The partition coefficients, N, corresponding to the

ric partition coefficients, N , corresponding to the atio $\mathbf{r}_{1/2}$ $\mathbf{r}_{1/2}$, were determined spectrophotometrically using 4.0 cm path length visible cell. In general the spectra were recorded in the 550 \sim 350 nm ranges. The differences between the ferrous carbonyl and nitrosyl compounds were much greater in the Soret region than in the visible region, so the Soret regions were chosen to follow absorption changes in the titration of carbonyl compounds with NO. $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})$ solutions were prepared as $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})$ solutions were prepared as $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})$

 $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ previous prepared as described in a previous paper $[4]$. The base concentration was chosen to give greater than 99% of the five-coordinate complex in the capped porphyrin complexes and 98% of that in the flat-open porphyrin complex $Fe(TPP)(1, 2-Me₂Im)$. The concentration of the base solution was determined from the reported equilibrium constants K_B and K_B ^B [6]. More than 20 mL of the base solution prepared as described above was added to the Fe(Por) residue and mixed for a few minutes. Pure CO gas (Matheson, Ultra High Purity) was then bubbled through the porphyrin solution for \sim 2 h to produce the Fe(Por)(B)(CO) complexes. The spectrum was then taken. Pure CO gas was passed through the solution for an additional 1 h to obtain pure carbonyl complex, as indicated by constant measurement of absorbance. This $Fe(Por)(B)(CO)$ solution was then transferred to the visible cell, which contained a small magnetic stir bar.

Once the solution was in the visible cell, it was brought to the chosen temperature (25 $^{\circ}$ C), and then the cell was attached to the solution NO apparatus. This apparatus was equipped with gas inlet and outlet tubes, by which the mixture gas containing varying partial pressures of CO and NO was bubbled through the porphyrin solution. The partial pressure of CO and NO in the gas was adjusted by mixing pure CO

TABLE I. Oxygen Affinities and Nitric Oxide Affinities [Partition Coefficient] of Some 'Capped' Iron(II) Porphyrins in Toluene.

Affinity	$P_{1/2}O_2$ (ton) ^a		$P_{1/2}$ ^{NO} (ton) ^a	$[N]$ ^b
	1.0 M	0.1 M	1.0 M	
	$1,2$ -Me ₂ Im	$1, 5$ -DCIm	$1,2$ -Me ₂ Im	
	-45° C	0° C	25° C	
TPP	5.3 ^c		1.1×10^{-7}	$[1.3 \times 10^6]$ ^d
C_2 -Cap	27 ^e	19.4	2.0×10^{-6}	$[1.0 \times 10^5]$
C_3 -Cap	$6,000$ ^{e,f}	54.2^{e}	3.3×10^{-6}	$[4.2 \times 10^{4}]$
C_4 -Cap	_ g	$-h$	4.1×10^{-5}	$[1.0 \times 10^5]$
		$-$ ---		

Values reproducible within 10% , 5.8×10^{-12} , $P_{1/2}^{3/2}$, $P_{1/$ 1, 2-Me₂Im. Seterence 4. Symbol estimated from $P_{1/2}^{\prime\prime}Q_2 = 880$ format -63 °C. Set

with commercially prepared NO in N_2 (5 ppm), using with commercially prepared to in \mathbf{r}_2 (5 ppm), using needle values. The rotometers and two precision needle valves. The rotometers were individually calibrated throughout their ranges with both pure CO and 5 ppm NO in N_2 .

In order to prevent concentration changes during bubblics, the gas mixture was saturated with the desired with the same of the saturated with the desired with the same of the saturated with the same of the same of the saturated with the same of the saturated with the sam babonng, the gas inixture was saturated with toruen at the same temperature as the porphyrm solution also a large amount of Γ of Γ of Γ (Γ) solution Γ mL) was used. Each gas mixture was passed through the solution until equilibrium was attained, as indicated by constant measurement of absorbance. This method is essentially the same as that used by momod is ossentially the same as that used by α - α α Reversibility was checked after the last NO addi-

tion by purging with pure CO gas for \sim 12 h to obtain the identical initial spectrum.

Data from the spectrophotometric titrations were treated with eqn. 8

$$
\frac{P^{NO}}{P^{CO}} = [M(Por)]_T \cdot b \cdot \Delta \epsilon' \left(\frac{P^{NO}/P^{CO}}{\Delta A'}\right) - \frac{P_{1/2}NO}{P_{1/2}CO} \tag{8}
$$

derived from Collman's [7] eqn. 9

$$
P_{O_2} = [M(Por)]_T \cdot b \cdot \Delta \epsilon \left(\frac{P^O_2}{\Delta A}\right) - P_{1/2} O_2 \tag{9}
$$

 f_{c} can calculating oxygen affinity. In these equations equations equations equations of f_{c} of calculating oxygen afflinty. In these equations $\Delta e'$ is the difference in molar absorptivity of the car-
bonyl and nitrosyl complexes, and $\Delta A'$ is the difference between the absorbance at a particular P^{NO} / PCO and the absorbance of the carbon density at PCO the same wavelength. Also $M(D, \rho)$, is the total m_{c} and wavelength. Also m_{c} m_{c} is the total μ is the difference in molecular absorption μ molecular absorption of μ length, $\Delta \epsilon$ is the difference in molar absorptivity of the oxy and deoxy complexes, and ΔA is the difference between the absorbance at a particular P_{O_n} and the absorbance of the deoxy complex at the same $\frac{1}{2}$ is a constructed integral of the constant of $\frac{1}{2}$ is a constant, and a constant, and a constant, and a constant, and a constant of $\frac{1}{2}$ is a constant of $\frac{1}{2}$ is a constant of $\frac{1}{2}$ is a consta mavelengen, since $\begin{bmatrix} m(1 \text{ of } r) \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} m(2 \text{ of } r) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} m(1 \text{ of } r) \end{bmatrix}$ strategie interval to P, 2nd the P, 2No/P, $\frac{1}{2}$ Co. straight line with y intercept equal to $P_{1/2}^{NO}/P_{1/2}^{TO}$.
All data were fitted using a nonweighted linear least-squares method.

Results

Table I contains data on O_2 and NO affinities of some Fe(Por)(B) complexes. Spectrophotometric $O₂$ titrations of toluene solutions of Fe(Cap)(1,5-DCIm), where cap = C_2 - and C_3 -cap, gave spectral changes with good isosbestic points (Fig. 1). Unfortunative with good isosociate points (Fig. 1). Official $\frac{1}{1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ a Me₂Im and 1,5-DCIm could not be measured.
Spectrophotometric NO titrations of toluene solu-

tions of $Fe(Por)(1, 2-Me₂Im)(CO)$ gave spectral

rig. 1. Spectral changes occurring upon titration of a folliene solution of $\sim 10^{-5} M$ in Fe(C₂-Cap)(1,5-DCIm), 0.1 M in 1,5-DCIm, with the following pressures of dioxygen at $0^{\circ}C$; 0, 3.0, 5.5, 11.4, 19.8, 31.4, 60.1, and 878.0 torr.

changes with good isosbestic points (Fig. 2). Data α tainges with good isosoesite points $(\text{rg. } z)$. Data obtained from such optical spectra were used to determine values of $P_{1/2}^{NO}$ (Table I).

Discussion

Our earlier studies [4] dealt with the binding of Our carner studies $\begin{bmatrix} +1 \end{bmatrix}$ dealt with the omding $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$ O_2 and of CO to some iron(II) C_2 - and C_3 -capped porphyrins, (I).

Fig. 2. Spectral changes occurring upon thration of a toniene solution of $\sim 10^{-6}$ M in Fe(C₄-Cap)(1,2-Me₂Im)(CO), 1.0 M in 1,2-Me₂Im, with the following pressure ratios of carbon
monoxide and nitric oxide, P^{CO}/P^{NO} , at 25 °C; Fe(C₄-Cap)- $\frac{1}{2}$ monoxine and miric oxine, $\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^2$, at 25 °C, $\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^2$ 104, 4.81 **X** 104, 2.39 **X** lo4 and OIPco= 0 torr, PNo= 3.66 $10, 4.01$ \land

The results of those studies showed that Oa binding The results of those studies showed that O_2 of analogous of capped systems is less than that of analogous flatopen complexes such as $Fe(TPP)(B)$. This was attributed to an interference with the stable bent attributed to an interference with the stable bent structure of $r = -0$ in the capped complexes by peripheral steric effects. In contrast to this, it was found $[4, 6]$ that the capped and the flat-open porphyrin iron(II) complexes have similar affinities for CO. This was said to be due to there being little central steric effect in the capped systems, providing no detectable interference with the stable Fe-C-O linear structure. I the explanation is correct that I

If the explanation is correct that O_2 omitting in $Fe(Cap)(B)$ complexes suffers from peripheral steric effects due to the stable bent structure of $Fe-O-O$, then it follows that similarly bent $Fe-N-O$ should experience similar steric effects. Data in Table I support this, showing that both $O₂$ and NO affinities decrease in the order $Fe({\text{flat-open}}) > Fe({\text{Cap}})$ -(B). Qualitatively, NO affinities do correlate $O₂$ affinities in these systems, in accord with prediction.
Now it is necessary to look more closely at the

vow it is necessary to rook more crosery at the periodic effects. values of $\frac{1}{2}$, and at the peripheral steric effect is sufficient room inside the C_3 -cap, but not the C_2 cap, to permit a second 1-MeIm to coordinate and cap, to permit a second 1-meini to coordinate and
 $\frac{1}{2}$. R. $\left(0, \frac{C_{\text{max}}}{4} \right)$ + $\frac{1}{2}$ Recent $\frac{1}{4}$ U nmr studies $[9]$ show that dimensional formulation in the capacity of $[9]$ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}$ show that unnearly
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}$ (C₃-Cap)(DMCO)². Furthermore the $\frac{1}{2}$ results in $\frac{1}{2}$ results show that demonstrates are processed nmr results show that dynamic processes are present
for C_2 -cap and C_3 -cap, and that C_3 -cap has greater flexibility which gives it a variable cap cavity size.

It now appears that ligand coordination on the cavity side of C_{3cap} is responsible for the very low cavity side of C_3 -cap is responsible for the very fow. O_2 affinity of iron(II) C_3 -capped porphyrins. The importance of ligand coordination on the cavity side of the cap can be illustrated in three different ways, U_1 include the data is T_1 .

(1) T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 , T_5 , T_6 , T_7 , T_8 , T_7 , T_8 , T_9 , (1) The values of $x_{1/2}$ at $x_{2/2}$ c for $x_{0}(10)$ $(1,2\pi\omega_2\sin\theta_0)$ vary in the state type one of $(1, 5, \text{and})$ C_2 -Cap $\gg C_3$ -Cap, with relative values of 1, 5, and 1000, respectively. If the five-fold effect in going from flat-open to capped porphyrin is due to peripheral steric effects, then what causes the 200 fold effect in going from C_2 -cap to C_3 -cap? We had attributed this to the greater peripheral effect of the additional methylene group in the C_3 -cap, but we now think it is largely due to ligand coordination on the cavity side.

(2) In support of ligand coordination on the cavity side at -45° C for Fe(C₃-Cap)(1,2-Me₂Im)_n are the results obtained for the bulky ligand 1,5-DCIm, (II).

 T_1 , $\frac{1}{2}$ at $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ The values of $x_{1/2}$ at $\sigma \propto a_1 x_{1/2}$ and $x_{2/2}$ and $x_{3/2}$ and $x_{4/2}$ and $x_{5/2}$ and $x_{6/2}$ and $x_{7/2}$ and $x_{8/2}$ and $x_{9/2}$ and $x_{1/2}$ and $x_{1/2}$ and $x_{1/2}$ and $x_{1/2}$ and $x_{1/2}$ and $x_{1/$ $54(C_3-Cap)$. Assuming the bulky 1,5-DCIm does not coordinate on the cavity side of either cap, at these coordinate on the cavity side of chiler cap, at these α permientar conditions, it follows that the extre methylene group in C_3 -cap causes less than a threefold decrease in O_2 affinity. Note that even this bulky ligand, at these experimental conditions, gives largely $Fe(C_4-Cap)(1,5-DCIm)_2$, because of the larger more flexible C_4 -Cap.

(3) Because of the strong binding of NO to $Fe(Por)(1, 2-Me₂Im)$, it is possible to determine $P_{1/2}$ ^{NO} at 25 °C (Table I) and to adjust experimental $\frac{1}{2}$ at 25 C (Table 1) and to adjust experimental conditions in tayor of the rive-coordinate from (1) complexes. The values of $\frac{1}{2}$ are seen to vary in relative values of $\frac{1}{2}$ $\$ relative values of $1, 10$, and 100 , respectively. This shows a ten-fold decrease in NO affinity in going $f(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ for $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{1}{g(x)}$ is the point attributed to capped porphyrins, which may be attributed to peripheral effects. It is of interest that
this ten-fold effect is similar to the five-fold effect for α binding, which also was attributed to the three to was attributed to α per σ_2 binding, which also was attributed to

prediction made for the similar bent structures of the moieties Fe-O-O and Fe-N-O. The additional t_{tot} fold effect on NO binding found at σ may may may be made. be due to some coordination of 1,2-Me,Im on the be due to some coordination of $1,2$ -Me₂Im on the cavity side of the cap, or to the greater squashed [4, 10] or twisted [6] configuration of C_4 -cap.

One can further speculate that the dynamic processes [9] involved with the capped porphyrins are more rapid at higher temperature. At such are more rapid at ingire temperature. At such forth across the top of the porthand plane and retard forth across the top of the porphyrin plane and retard ligand coordination on the cavity side of the cap. At lower temperatures this motion of the cap becomes much more sluggish, permitting a greater tendency of ligand coordination on the cavity side of the cap. For this reason, it is possible to have a solution of fivecoordinate $Fe(Cap)(B)$ at room temperature, whereas $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ the same solution at 100 m temperature, whereas $\frac{1}{2}$ is seen the control at $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$,

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Institutes of Health for support of this research. We also are grateful to Ube Industries Ltd. for support of M.S., their employee. We thank Professor T. G. Traylor for help with the synthesis of **1** ,5-DCIm.

References

- 1 Abbreviations; Por, dianion of porphyrin; CzCap, pressure at half saturation. A boreviations; Por, dianion of porphyrin; C_2 -Cap, dianion of the C₂-capped porphyrin; C₃-Cap, dianion of the C₃-capped porphyrin; C₄-Cap, dianion of the C₄capped porphyrin; TPP, dianion of meso-tetraphenylporphyrin; T(p-OMe)PP, dianion of tetra-p-methoxy-
meso-tetraphenylporphyrin; 1,2-Me₂Im, 1,2-dimethylmeso-tetraphenyiporphyrin; 1,2-Me₂1m, 1,2-dimethyi-
imidazole; 1,5-DCIm; 1,5-dicyclohexylimidazole; B, monodentate ligand; $P_{1/2}$ ⁻² α \≂\ max gie; b \mathbf{B}^{-2}) \mathbf{M} the \mathbf{O}_2 pressure at half saturation.
- *R. D. Jones, D. A. Summerville and F. Basolo, Chem* Rev., 79, 139 (1979); T. Hshimoto and F. Basolo, Comments Inorg. Chem., 1, 199 (1981), and references therein. R. W. Romberg and R. J. Kassner, *Biochemistry, 18,*
- *5387 (1979).* $T.$ T. Hashimoio, $T.$ E. A. A. H. $T.$ E. A. A. H. $T.$ E. A. A. A. H. E. Baldwin $T.$ E. Baldwin $T.$ E. Baldwin $T.$ E. A. A. A. H. E. A. A. A
- 1. Hasnimoto, K. L. Dyer, M. J. Crossley, J. E. Baldwin and F. Basolo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 2101 (1982).
- 5 T. G. Traylor, M. J. Mitchell, S. Tsuchiya, D. H. Campbell, D. V. Stynes and N. Koga, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 5234 (1981). *6* M. Shimizu and F. Basolo, Znorg. *Chim. Acta, Bioinorg.,*
- *I* J. P. Collman, J. I. Brauman, K. M. Doxsee, T. R. m. prin
- *8 100, 2761 (1978)*.
D. D. D. H. J. Szymanski, R. D. D. J. D. D. J. R. D. J. R J. P. Collman, J. I. Brauman, K. M. Doxsee, I. R. Halbert, S. E. Hayes and S. Suslick, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 2761 (1978).
- *9 N.* J. Clayden, G. R. Moore, R. J. P. Williams and J. E. P. E. Ellis, J. E. Linard, T. Szymanski, R. D. Jones, J. R. Budge and F. Basolo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 102, 1889 (1980).
- $\overline{1}$ N. J. Clayden, G. R. Moore, R. J. P. Williams and J. E. Baldwin, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 2, 1693 (1982).
- *Chem. Sot., 59, 1288 (1982).*